## **Imperative Converb in Archi**

Archi (Lezgic, Nakh-Daghestanian) features an Imperative Converb, a form rare or absent from other Daghestanian languages. The Imperative Converb, first described by A. Kibrik, occurs in polypredicative constructions when both predicates convey volitional illocution. The second imperative is a regular (finite) imperative form:

(1)	xit:a-xir	š:∂ le-kul	c'a-lli	еса
	then-after	be.fat-MSD	melt.IMP- CVB.IMP	pour.IMP
	"Then melt th	(it in)."		

The Imperative Converb is a non-finite form. It may not be the only predicate in a sentence and does not combine with any of the Archi imperative particles. One sentence may have several imperative converbs:

(2)	mač-u	b-a-lli	zaba-lli	b-ars:a.
	nettle-AND	3-do.IMP-CVB.IMP	come.IMP- CVB.IMP	3-chop.IMP
	"Collect some	e nettles, come back and chop	them."	

The use of the Converb for the second imperative is not obligatory; a sentence is grammatical even when it includes several regular imperatives.

(3)	xit:a-xir	orx i	ača,	dac'on	ača.
	then-after	salt	add.IMP	oatmeal	add.IMP
	"en add some salt, add oatmeal."				

In all contexts, the Imperative Converb may be replaced by a regular imperative. On the other hand, there are no visible syntactic constraints on the use of the Imperative Converb, either; it can be used for instance if the addressee of the imperative is not the same for the main imperative and in the Converb; objects of the two imperatives may be different, two:

(4)	jat:ik	inx-u	s:usəba-lli	eł:a
	over	butter-AND	spread.IMP-CVB.IMP	put.IMP
	"Sprea	ad the butter ov	ver (them) and put (the loaves	of bread)."

The use of the Converb for the second (third and so on) imperative clause is apparently controlled by semantic rather than syntactic factors. The goal of the paper is to explain the conditions and constraints of the use of the Imperative Converb. Thus, according to the speakers, the use of the Imperative Converb indicates that the two actions are closely related; cf. the change from regular imperative to Imperative Converb in the following example:

(5)	<i>Pati,</i> Patimat	<i>un</i> you.SG	<i>at'a,</i> cut.IMP	<i>Asijat, un</i> Asijat you.SG		
	k'očo-la	eł:a,	Lajuza,	un	čij	eł:a.
	dish-	put.IMP	Lajuza	you.SG	tea	put.IMP
	"You, Patima	it, cut, you, As	ijat, put (it) on	the dish, you, Lajuza	, put the	kettle on the fire."

(6)	Pati,	un	at'a-lli,		Asijat,	un
	Patimat	you.SG	cut.II	MP- CVB.IMP	Asijat	you.SG
	k'očo-la	eł:a,	Lajuza,	un	čij	eł:a.
	dish-	put.IMP	Lajuza	you.SG	tea	put.IMP
	"You, Patima	at, cut, you, As	ijat, put (it) on	the dish, you, L	ajuza, p	out the kettle on fire."

The latter is different from the former in that in the latter case it is obvious that what Asijat is going to put on the dish is the same thing that Patimat just cut.